arXiv:math/0402152v2 [math.QA] 14 Jan 2005

On relations for the q-multiple zeta values

OKUDA, Jun-ichi TAKEYAMA, Yoshihiro *

Abstract

We prove some relations for the q-multiple zeta values (qMZV). They are q-analogues of the cyclic sum formula, the Ohno relation and the Ohno-Zagier relation for the multiple zeta values (MZV). We discuss the problem to determine the dimension of the space spanned by qMZV's over $\mathbb{Q}$, and present an application to MZV.

1 Introduction

In this paper we prove some relations for a certain class of q-series called q-multiple zeta values (qMZV, for short).

Let us recall the definition of qMZV [10]. We call a sequence of ordered positive integers $\boldsymbol{k} = (k_1, \ldots, k_r)$ an index. The weight, depth and height of the index are defined by $|\boldsymbol{k}| := k_1 + \cdots + k_r$, $\mathrm{dep}\,\boldsymbol{k} := r$ and $\mathrm{ht}\,\boldsymbol{k} := \#\{j | k_j \geq 2\}$ respectively. The index is said to be admissible if and only if $k_1 \geq 2$.

Definition 1. *For $0 < q < 1$ and an admissible index $\boldsymbol{k}$, the q-multiple zeta value (qMZV) is defined by*

$$\zeta_q(\boldsymbol{k}) := \sum_{n_1 > n_2 > \cdots > n_r > 0} \frac{q^{n_1(k_1-1)+n_2(k_2-1)+\cdots+n_r(k_r-1)}}{[n_1]^{k_1}[n_2]^{k_2}\cdots[n_r]^{k_r}}, \tag{1}$$

where $[n]$ is the q-integer

$$[n] := \frac{1-q^n}{1-q}.$$

Note that $0 < 1/[n] \leq 1$ for any positive integer n. Hence the right hand side of (1) is absolutely convergent if $k_1 > 1$. In particular it is well defined as a q-series if $k_1 > 1$.

By taking the limit $q \to 1$ of qMZV, we obtain the multiple zeta value (MZV, for short):

$$\lim_{q \uparrow 1} \zeta_q(\boldsymbol{k}) = \zeta(\boldsymbol{k}) := \sum_{n_1 > n_2 > \cdots > n_r > 0} \frac{1}{n_1^{k_1} n_2^{k_2} \cdots n_r^{k_r}}.$$

---

[*] Research Fellow of the Japan Society for the Promotion of Science.

For MZV's there are many linear relations and algebraic ones over $\mathbb{Q}$. The examples are the cyclic sum formula [3], the Ohno relation [5] and the Ohno-Zagier relation [6]. These relations do not suffice to give all relations of MZV's, and as a time the proof is much technical. However these relations have quite beautiful structures and it is valuable to construct explicit relations.

In the present paper, we give a q-analogue of the relations for MZV's and prove it.

First we show the $\mathbb{Q}$-linear relations for qMZV's which are q-analogues of the cyclic sum formula and the Ohno relation:

Theorem 1 (The cyclic sum formula). *For any index* $\boldsymbol{k}$ *with some* $k_i \geq 2$,

$$\begin{aligned}&\sum_{i=1}^{r} \zeta_q(k_i+1, k_{i+1}, \ldots, k_r, k_1, \ldots, k_{i-1}) \\ &\qquad = \sum_{i=1}^{r} \sum_{j=0}^{k_i-2} \zeta_q(k_i-j, k_{i+1}, \ldots, k_r, k_1, \ldots, k_{i-1}, j+1).\end{aligned}$$

Theorem 2 (The Ohno relation). *For any admissible index* $\boldsymbol{k}=(k_1,\ldots,k_r)$, *there exit positive integers* $a_1, b_1, a_2, b_2, \ldots, a_s, b_s$ *such that*

$$\boldsymbol{k} = (\underbrace{a_1+1, 1, \ldots, 1}_{b_1}, \underbrace{a_2+1, 1, \ldots, 1}_{b_2}, \ldots, \underbrace{a_s+1, 1, \ldots, 1}_{b_s}). \tag{2}$$

Then the dual index $\boldsymbol{k}'=(k'_1,\ldots,k'_{r'})$ *for* $\boldsymbol{k}$ *is defined by*

$$\boldsymbol{k}' := (\underbrace{b_s+1, 1, \ldots, 1}_{a_s}, \ldots, \underbrace{b_2+1, 1, \ldots, 1}_{a_2}, \underbrace{b_1+1, 1, \ldots, 1}_{a_1}).$$

For any admissible index $\boldsymbol{k}$ *and non-negative integer* l

$$\sum_{\substack{c_1+\cdots+c_r=l \\ c_i \geq 0}} \zeta_q(k_1+c_1, \ldots, k_r+c_r) = \sum_{\substack{c'_1+\cdots+c'_{r'}=l \\ c'_i \geq 0}} \zeta_q(k'_1+c'_1, \ldots, k'_{r'}+c'_{r'}).$$

These relations have the same form as the corresponding ones for MZV's.

Secondly we show a q-analogue of the Ohno-Zagier relation:

Theorem 3 (The Ohno-Zagier relation). *We define a generating function of qMZV's as follows:*

$$\Phi_0(x,y,z) := \sum_{k,r,s=0}^{\infty} \left\{ \sum_{\substack{\boldsymbol{k} \\ |\boldsymbol{k}|=k,\ \mathrm{dep}\,\boldsymbol{k}=r,\ \mathrm{ht}\,\boldsymbol{k}=s}} \zeta_q(\boldsymbol{k}) \right\} x^{k-r-s} y^{r-s} z^{s-1}. \tag{3}$$

Then

$$\begin{aligned}&1+(z-xy)\Phi_0 = \\ &\qquad \exp\left(\sum_{n=2}^{\infty} \zeta_q(n) \sum_{m=0}^{\infty} \frac{(q-1)^m}{m+n} (x^{m+n}+y^{m+n}-(\alpha^{m+n}+\beta^{m+n})) \right).\end{aligned} \tag{4}$$

Here $\alpha^{m+n}+\beta^{m+n}$ *is a polynomial in* x, y *and* z *determined by*

$$\alpha+\beta = x+y+(q-1)(z-xy) \quad \textit{and} \quad \alpha\beta = z.$$

By taking the limit $q \to 1$ we obtain the Ohno-Zagier relation for MZV's. The Ohno-Zagier relation for MZV's explains when the combinations of MZV's are in the algebra generated by Riemann's zeta values $\zeta(k)$ over $\mathbb{Q}$. Unfortunately the product of qMZV's is not closed in $\mathbb{Q}$-vector space spanned by qMZV's, however it is closed in $\mathbb{Q}[(1-q)]$-module and preserves the weights by counting the weight of $(1-q)$ by 1 [10]. From the consideration above we define the modified qMZV $\overline{\zeta}_q(\boldsymbol{k})$ by

$$\overline{\zeta}_q(\boldsymbol{k}) := (1-q)^{-|\boldsymbol{k}|}\zeta_q(\boldsymbol{k}). \tag{5}$$

Then the product of modified qMZV's is closed in the $\mathbb{Q}$-vector space spanned by them. Now the relation (4) is rewritten as follows:

$$1+(z-xy)\overline{\Phi}_0 = \exp\left(\sum_{n=2}^{\infty}\overline{\zeta}_q(n)\sum_{m=0}^{\infty}\frac{(-1)^m}{m+n}(x^{m+n}+y^{m+n}-(\overline{\alpha}^{m+n}+\overline{\beta}^{m+n}))\right).$$

Here $\overline{\Phi}_0$ is defined by (3) with $\zeta_q(\boldsymbol{k})$ replaced by $\overline{\zeta}_q(\boldsymbol{k})$, and

$$\overline{\alpha}+\overline{\beta} = x+y-(z-xy) \quad \text{and} \quad \overline{\alpha}\overline{\beta} = z.$$

Thus the relation (4) explains when the linear combinations of the modified qMZV's are in the algebra generated by $\overline{\zeta}_q(n)$ $(n \geq 2)$ over $\mathbb{Q}$.

In preparation of this paper we found [1]. In [1], Theorem 1, Theorem 2 and Theorem 3 of $z=0$ case are proved independently.

The rest of the paper is organized as follows. In section 2 we prove the theorems above. Since the proofs are similar to the case of MZV, we omit some details presenting new features in the case of qMZV. In section 3 we discuss the problem to determine dimensions of certain spaces spanned by modified qMZV's over $\mathbb{Q}$. In the study of MZV it is an important problem to determine the dimension of the $\mathbb{Q}$-vector space spanned by MZV's of a fixed weight (see, e.g. [9]). We consider a similar problem in the case of qMZV and present some observations.

2 Proofs

2.1 The Cyclic Sum Formula

Set

$$\begin{aligned} T(k_1,\ldots,k_r) &:= \sum_{n_1>\cdots>n_r>n_{r+1}\geq 0}\frac{q^{n_1-n_{r+1}}\cdot q^{n_1(k_1-1)+\cdots+n_r(k_r-1)}}{[n_1-n_{r+1}][n_1]^{k_1}\cdots[n_r]^{k_r}}, \\ S(k_1,\ldots,k_r,k_{r+1}) &:= \sum_{n_1>\cdots>n_r>n_{r+1}>0}\frac{q^{n_1}\cdot q^{n_1(k_1-1)+\cdots+n_r(k_r-1)+n_{r+1}(k_{r+1}-1)}}{[n_1-n_{r+1}][n_1]^{k_1}\cdots[n_r]^{k_r}[n_{r+1}]^{k_{r+1}}}. \end{aligned}$$

It is easy to see that $T(k_1, \ldots, k_r)$ converges absolutely if all k_i's are positive integers, and $S(k_1, \ldots, k_{r+1})$ converges absolutely if $\forall\, k_i \geq 1$, or $k_{r+1} = 0$ and some of $k_i \geq 2$ $(i = 1, \ldots, r)$.

Lemma 1. *Let* $(k_1, \ldots, k_r)$ *be an index with some* $k_i \geq 2$. *Then we have*

$$\begin{aligned} &T(k_1, k_2, \ldots, k_r) - \zeta_q(k_1 + 1, k_2, \ldots, k_r) \\ &\qquad = T(k_2, \ldots, k_r, k_1) - \sum_{j=0}^{k_1-2} \zeta_q(k_1 - j, k_2, \ldots, k_r, j + 1). \end{aligned} \tag{6}$$

We get Theorem 1 by summing Lemma 1 over all cyclic permutations of the sequence $(k_1, \ldots, k_r)$.

Proof. The left hand side of (6) is

$$T(k_1, \ldots, k_r) - \zeta_q(k_1 + 1, k_2, \ldots, k_r) = S(k_1, \ldots, k_r, 0).$$

Let us prove that $S(k_1, \ldots, k_r, 0)$ is equal to the right hand side of (6).

We use the following partial fractional expansions:

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{q^{n_1 - n_{r+1}}}{[n_1 - n_{r+1}][n_1]} &= \left\{ \frac{1}{[n_1 - n_{r+1}]} - \frac{1}{[n_1]} \right\} \frac{1}{[n_{r+1}]} && \text{(7a)} \\ &= \left\{ \frac{q^{n_1 - n_{r+1}}}{[n_1 - n_{r+1}]} - \frac{q^{n_1}}{[n_1]} \right\} \frac{1}{[n_{r+1}]}. && \text{(7b)} \end{aligned}$$

If $k_1 \geq 2$, by using (7a) we have

$$\begin{aligned} &S(k_1, k_2, \ldots, k_r, k_{r+1}) \\ &\quad = \sum_{n_1 > \cdots > n_r > n_{r+1} > 0} \frac{q^{n_1}}{[n_1 - n_{r+1}][n_1]} \frac{q^{n_1(k_1-1) + \cdots + n_r(k_r-1) + n_{r+1}(k_{r+1}-1)}}{[n_1]^{k_1-1}[n_2]^{k_2} \cdots [n_r]^{k_r}[n_{r+1}]^{k_{r+1}}} \\ &\quad = \sum_{n_1 > \cdots > n_r > n_{r+1} > 0} \left\{ \frac{1}{[n_1 - n_{r+1}]} - \frac{1}{[n_1]} \right\} \frac{q^{n_1(k_1-1) + \cdots + n_r(k_r-1) + n_{r+1}k_{r+1}}}{[n_1]^{k_1-1}[n_2]^{k_2} \cdots [n_r]^{k_r}[n_{r+1}]^{k_{r+1}+1}} \\ &\quad = S(k_1 - 1, k_2, \ldots, k_r, k_{r+1} + 1) - \zeta_q(k_1, k_2, \ldots, k_r, k_{r+1} + 1). \end{aligned}$$

By using this equality repeatedly we find

$$\begin{aligned} &S(k_1, k_2, \ldots, k_r, 0) \\ &\qquad = S(1, k_2, \ldots, k_r, k_1 - 1) - \sum_{j=0}^{k_1-2} \zeta_q(k_1 - j, k_2, \ldots, k_r, j + 1). \end{aligned} \tag{8}$$

The equality above holds also in the case of $k_1 = 1$.

Now we consider the first term in the right hand side of (8):

$$\begin{aligned}
&S(1,k_2,\ldots,k_r,k_{r+1})\\
&\quad= \sum_{n_1>\cdots>n_r>n_{r+1}>0} \frac{q^{n_1}}{[n_1-n_{r+1}][n_1]} \frac{q^{n_2(k_2-1)+\cdots+n_r(k_r-1)+n_{r+1}(k_{r+1}-1)}}{[n_2]^{k_2}\cdots[n_r]^{k_r}[n_{r+1}]^{k_{r+1}}}\\
&\quad= \sum_{n_2>\cdots>n_r>n_{r+1}>0} \frac{q^{n_2(k_2-1)+\cdots+n_r(k_r-1)+n_{r+1}k_{r+1}}}{[n_2]^{k_2}\cdots[n_r]^{k_r}[n_{r+1}]^{k_{r+1}+1}} \sum_{n_1=n_2+1}^{\infty} \left\{ \frac{q^{n_1-n_{r+1}}}{[n_1-n_{r+1}]} - \frac{q^{n_1}}{[n_1]} \right\}.
\end{aligned}$$

Here we used (7b). Note that the sum $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} q^n/[n]$ is convergent. Hence we have

$$\sum_{n_1=n_2+1}^{\infty} \left\{ \frac{q^{n_1-n_{r+1}}}{[n_1-n_{r+1}]} - \frac{q^{n_1}}{[n_1]} \right\} = \sum_{n_{r+1}>j>0} \frac{q^{n_2-j}}{[n_2-j]}.$$

Therefore we find

$$S(1,k_2,\ldots,k_{r+1}) = T(k_2,\ldots,k_r,k_{r+1}+1). \tag{9}$$

From (8) and (9) we obtain (6). □

2.2 The Ohno Relation

The proof progresses as same as [7].

For an admissible index $\boldsymbol{k}=(k_1,\ldots,k_r)$, a sequence $(a_1,b_1,\ldots,a_s,b_s)$ of positive integers is determined by the rule (2). We call the sequence a *code* of $\boldsymbol{k}$.

Let $(a_1,b_1,\ldots,a_s,b_s)$ be the code of an admissible index $\boldsymbol{k}$. We define generating functions of the left hand side and the right hand side of the Ohno relation as follows:

$$\begin{aligned}
f(a_1,b_1,\ldots,a_s,b_s;\lambda) &:= \sum_{l=0}^{\infty} \sum_{\substack{c_1+\cdots+c_r=l\\ c_i\ge 0}} \zeta_q(k_1+c_1,\ldots,k_r+c_r)\ \lambda^l,\\
g(a_1,b_1,\ldots,a_s,b_s;\lambda) &:= \sum_{l=0}^{\infty} \sum_{\substack{c'_1+\cdots+c'_{r'}=l\\ c'_i\ge 0}} \zeta_q(k'_1+c'_1,\ldots,k'_{r'}+c'_{r'})\ \lambda^l\\
&= f(b_s,a_s,\ldots,b_1,a_1;\lambda).
\end{aligned}$$

Here $\boldsymbol{k}'=(k'_1,\ldots,k'_{r'})$ is the dual index of $\boldsymbol{k}$. These functions converge at $|\lambda|<1$, and can be analytically continued to $\mathbb{C}\backslash\Omega$, where

$$\Omega := \{q^{-n}[n]\,|\,n\in\mathbb{Z}_{\ge 1}\},$$

by

$$\begin{aligned} &f(a_1, b_1, \dots, a_s, b_s; \lambda) \\ &\quad = \sum_{c_1,\dots,c_r=0}^{\infty} \sum_{n_1>\cdots>n_r>0} \frac{q^{n_1(k_1-1)+\cdots+n_r(k_r-1)}}{[n_1]^{k_1+c_1}\dots[n_r]^{k_r+c_r}} \lambda^{c_1+\cdots+c_r} \\ &\quad = \sum_{n_1>\cdots>n_r>0} \prod_{i=1}^{s} \frac{q^{n_{c_i}a_i}}{[n_{c_i}]^{a_i}} \prod_{j=1}^{r} \frac{1}{[n_j]-q^j\lambda}, \end{aligned} \tag{10}$$

where $c_1 = 1$ and $c_i = b_1 + \cdots + b_{i-1} + 1$ $(2 \le i \le s)$.

Using these generating functions, Theorem 2 is stated as

$$f(a_1, b_1, \dots, a_s, b_s; \lambda) = g(a_1, b_1, \dots, a_s, b_s; \lambda) \tag{11}$$

for any code $(a_1, b_1, \dots, a_s, b_s)$. To prove this equality, we prepare two propositions.

Proposition 1.

$$f(a_1, b_1, \dots, a_s, b_s; \lambda) = \sum_{p=1}^{\infty} \frac{C_p}{[p]-q^p\lambda},$$

where

$$C_p = \sum_{d=1}^{r} \sum_{\substack{n_1>\cdots>n_{d-1}>n_d=p \\ p>n_{d+1}>\cdots>n_r}} \prod_{i=1}^{s} \frac{q^{n_{c_i}a_j}}{[n_{c_i}]^{a_j}} \prod_{\substack{j=1 \\ j\neq d}}^{r} \frac{1}{[n_j]-q^{n_j-p}[p]}$$

Proof. From (10) we have

$$\begin{aligned} &f(a_1, b_1, \dots, a_s, b_s; \lambda) \\ &\quad = \sum_{n_1>\cdots>n_r>0} \prod_{i=1}^{s} \frac{q^{n_{c_i}a_j}}{[n_{c_i}]^{a_j}} \sum_{d=1}^{r} \prod_{j\neq d} \left(\frac{1}{[n_j]-q^{n_j-n_i}[n_i]} \right) \frac{1}{[n_d]-q^{n_d}\lambda}. \end{aligned}$$

If λ is in a compact set in $\mathbb{C}\backslash\Omega$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{n_1>\cdots>n_r>0} \sum_{d=1}^{r} &\left| \prod_{i=1}^{s} \frac{q^{n_{c_i}a_i}}{[n_{c_i}]^{a_i}} \prod_{j\neq d} \left(\frac{1}{[n_j]-q^{n_j-n_i}[n_i]} \right) \frac{1}{[n_d]-q^{n_d}\lambda} \right| \\ &\le C \sum_{n_1>\cdots>n_r>0} \prod_{i=1}^{s} \frac{q^{n_{c_i}a_i}}{[n_{c_i}]^{a_i}} \prod_{j=1}^{r} \frac{1}{[n_j]} \\ &= C\ \zeta_q(k_1, \dots, k_r) < +\infty \end{aligned}$$

for a positive constant C. Hence we can change the order of the summation and obtain the lemma. $\square$

Before we state the second proposition (Proposition 2 below) we introduce some conventions. We allow 0's appear in the code $(c_1, \dots, c_{2s})$ with the identification

$$(\dots, c_{i-1}, 0, c_{i+1}, \dots) = (\dots, c_{i-1} + c_{i+1}, \dots)$$

for $2 \le i \le 2s-1$. It is consistent with the rule (2). By definition we set

$$f(a_1, b_1, \dots, a_s, b_s; \lambda) = 0 \quad \text{if} \quad a_1 = 0 \text{ or } b_s = 0.$$

Proposition 2. *Set* $I := \{(0,0),(0,1),(1,0),(1,1)\}$. *For* $\eta = \{(\varepsilon_i, \delta_i)\}_{i=1}^{s} \in I^s$ *we set*

$$|\eta| = \sum_{i=1}^{s} (\varepsilon_i + \delta_i), \quad h(\eta) = \#\{i \mid (\varepsilon_i, \delta_i) = (1,1)\}.$$

Then we have

$$\begin{aligned} &\sum_{\eta \in I^s} (1-q)^{h(\eta)} (-\lambda)^{s-|\eta|+h(\eta)} f(a_1 - \varepsilon_1, b_1 - \delta_1, \dots, a_s - \varepsilon_s, b_s - \delta_s; \lambda) \\ &\quad = \sum_{\eta' \in I^{s-1}} \sum_{\varepsilon'_1, \delta'_{s+1} = 0,1} (1-q)^{h(\eta')} (-\lambda')^{s-|\eta|-\varepsilon'_1-\delta'_{s+1}+h(\eta)} \qquad (12) \\ &\qquad \times f(a_1 - \varepsilon'_1, b_1 - \delta'_2, a_2 - \varepsilon'_2, \dots, b_{s-1} - \delta'_s, a_s - \varepsilon'_s, b_s - \delta'_{s+1}; \lambda'), \end{aligned}$$

where $\lambda' := q\lambda - 1$. *In the left hand side* $\eta = \{(\varepsilon_i, \delta_i)\}_{i=1}^{s}$, *and in the right hand side* $\eta' = \{(\delta'_i, \varepsilon'_i)\}_{i=2}^{s}$.

To prove this proposition, we use the following function:

$$\begin{aligned} &\rho((a_1, d_1), b_1, \dots, (a_s, d_s), b_s; \lambda) \\ &\quad := \sum_{n_1 > \cdots > n_r > 0} \prod_{i=1}^{s} \frac{q^{(n_{c_i} - d_j) a_i}}{[n_{c_i} - d_j]^{a_i}} \prod_{j=1}^{r} \frac{1}{[n_j] - q^{n_j} \lambda}, \qquad (13) \end{aligned}$$

where $r = \sum_{i=1}^{s} (a_i + b_i)$, $c_1 = 1$ and $c_i = b_1 + \cdots + b_{i-1} + 1$ $(2 \le i \le s)$. Then the generating function f is given by

$$f(a_1, b_1, \dots, a_s, b_s; \lambda) = \rho((a_1, 0), b_1, \dots, (a_s, 0), b_s; \lambda).$$

In the following we use the identification

$$\begin{aligned} (\dots, b_{i-1}, (0, d_i), b_i, \dots) &= (\dots, b_{i-1} + b_i, \dots), \\ (\dots, (a_{i-1}, d), 0, (a_i, d), \dots) &= (\dots, (a_{i-1} + a_i, d), \dots). \end{aligned}$$

It is consistent with the definition of ρ (13).

Lemma 2. *The function* ρ *satisfies following relations:*

1. (a) If $a_1 \ge 2$,

$$\begin{aligned} &\lambda \rho((a_1, 0), b_1, (a_2, 0), \dots; \lambda) \\ &\quad - \rho((a_1 - 1, 0), b_1, (a_2, 0), \dots; \lambda) - \rho((a_1, 0), b_1 - 1, (a_2, 0), \dots; \lambda) \\ &\quad - (1-q) \rho((a_1 - 1, 0), b_1 - 1, (a_2, 0), \dots; \lambda) \\ &= \lambda' \rho((a_1, 1), b_1, (a_2, 0), \dots; \lambda) - \rho((a_1 - 1, 1), b_1, (a_2, 0), \dots; \lambda). \end{aligned}$$

(b) If $a_1 = 1$,

$$\lambda\rho((1,0),b_1,\dots;\lambda) - \rho((1,0),b_1-1,\dots;\lambda) = \lambda'\rho((1,1),b_1,\dots;\lambda).$$

2. For $2 \geq i \geq s-1$ or $i = s$ with $b_s \geq 2$,

$$\begin{aligned}
&\lambda\rho(\dots,(a_{i-1},1),b_{i-1},(a_i,0),b_i,(a_{i+1},0),\dots;\lambda)\\
&-\rho(\dots,(a_{i-1},1),b_{i-1},(a_i-1,0),b_i,(a_{i+1},0),\dots;\lambda)\\
&-\rho(\dots,(a_{i-1},1),b_{i-1},(a_i,0),b_i-1,(a_{i+1},0),\dots;\lambda)\\
&-(1-q)\rho(\dots,(a_{i-1},1),b_{i-1},(a_i-1,0),b_i-1,(a_{i+1},0),\dots;\lambda)\\
= {}&\lambda'\rho(\dots,(a_{i-1},1),b_{i-1},(a_i,1),b_i,(a_{i+1},0),\dots;\lambda)\\
&-\rho(\dots,(a_{i-1},1),b_{i-1},(a_i-1,1),b_i,(a_{i+1},0),\dots;\lambda)\\
&-\rho(\dots,(a_{i-1},1),b_{i-1}-1,(a_i,1),b_i,(a_{i+1},0),\dots;\lambda)\\
&-(1-q)\rho(\dots,(a_{i-1},1),b_{i-1}-1,(a_i-1,1),b_i,(a_{i+1},0),\dots;\lambda).
\end{aligned}$$

3. (a) If $b_s \geq 2$,

$$\begin{aligned}
&\rho((a_1,1),b_1,\dots,(a_s,1),b_s;\lambda)\\
&\quad= \rho((a_1,0),b_1,\dots,(a_s,0),b_s;\lambda') - \frac{1}{\lambda'}\rho((a_1,0),b_1,\dots,(a_s,0),b_s-1;\lambda').
\end{aligned}$$

(b) If $b_s = 1$,

$$\begin{aligned}
&\lambda\rho((a_1,1),b_1,\dots,(a_{s-1},1),b_{s-1},(a_s,0),1;\lambda)\\
&\quad-\rho((a_1,1),b_1,\dots,(a_{s-1},1),b_{s-1},(a_s-1,0),1;\lambda)\\
&= \lambda'\rho((a_1,0),b_1,\dots,b_{s-1},(a_s,0),1;\lambda')\\
&\quad-\rho((a_1,0),b_1,\dots,b_{s-1},(a_s-1,0),1;\lambda')\\
&\qquad-\rho((a_1,0),b_1,\dots,b_{s-1}-1,(a_s,0),1;\lambda')\\
&\qquad\quad-(1-q)\rho((a_1,0),b_1,\dots,b_{s-1}-1,(a_s-1,0),1;\lambda').
\end{aligned}$$

Proof. Here we prove (1a). The proofs of the other relations are similar.

We have

$$\begin{aligned}
&\lambda\rho((a_1,0),b_1,\dots;\lambda) - \rho((a_1-1,0),b_1,\dots;\lambda) = \\
&\sum_{n_1>\cdots>n_r>0}\left\{\frac{q^{n_1a_1}\lambda}{[n_1]^{a_1}} - \frac{q^{n_1(a_1-1)}}{[n_1]^{a_1-1}}\right\}\frac{1}{[n_1]-q^{n_1}\lambda}\prod_{i=2}^{s}\frac{q^{(n_{c_i}-d_j)a_i}}{[n_{c_i}-d_j]^{a_i}}\prod_{j=2}^{r}\frac{1}{[n_j]-q^{n_j}\lambda}.
\end{aligned}\tag{14}$$

Now we use the formula

$$\begin{aligned}
&\left\{\frac{q^{na}\lambda}{[n]^a} - \frac{q^{n(a-1)}}{[n]^{a-1}}\right\}\frac{1}{[n]-q^n\lambda}\\
&\quad= \left\{\frac{q^{(n-1)a}\lambda'}{[n-1]^a} - \frac{q^{(n-1)(a-1)}}{[n-1]^{a-1}}\right\}\frac{1}{[n]-q^n\lambda} + \frac{q^{(n-1)(a-1)}}{[n-1]^a} - \frac{q^{n(a-1)}}{[n]^a}.
\end{aligned}$$

Then we have

$$\begin{aligned}(14) = {} & \lambda' \rho((a_1,0),b_1,\ldots;\lambda) - \rho((a_1-1,0),b_1,\ldots;\lambda) \\ & + \sum_{n_2>\cdots>n_r>0} \prod_{i=2}^{s} \frac{q^{(n_{c_i}-d_j)a_i}}{[n_{c_i}-d_j]^{a_i}} \prod_{j=2}^{r} \frac{1}{[n_j]-q^{n_j}\lambda} \\ & \times \sum_{n_1=n_2+1}^{\infty} \left\{ \frac{q^{(n_1-1)(a_1-1)}}{[n_1-1]^{a_1}} - \frac{q^{n_1(a_1-1)}}{[n_1]^{a_1}} \right\}. \end{aligned} \tag{15}$$

From the equality

$$\sum_{n_1=n_2+1}^{\infty} \left\{ \frac{q^{(n_1-1)(a_1-1)}}{[n_1-1]^{a_1}} - \frac{q^{n_1(a_1-1)}}{[n_1]^{a_1}} \right\} = \frac{q^{n_2(a_1-1)}}{[n_2]^{a_1}} = \frac{q^{n_2 a_1}}{[n_2]^{a_1}} + (1-q)\frac{q^{n_2(a_1-1)}}{[n_2]^{a_1-1}},$$

we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}(15) \quad = \quad & \lambda' \rho((a_1,0),b_1,\ldots;\lambda) - \rho((a_1-1,0),b_1,\ldots;\lambda) \\ & + \rho((a_1,0),b_1-1,(a_2,0),\ldots;\lambda) \\ & + (1-q)\rho((a_1-1,0),b_1-1,(a_2,0),\ldots;\lambda).\end{aligned}$$

This completes the proof. □

Proof of Proposition 2. The left hand side of (12) is equal to

$$\sum_{\eta\in I^s} (1-q)^{h(\eta)} (-\lambda)^{s-|\eta|+h(\eta)} \rho((a_1-\varepsilon_1,0),b_1-\delta_1,\ldots,(a_s-\varepsilon_s,0),b_s-\delta_s;\lambda).$$

Take the sum over $(\varepsilon_1,\delta_1)\in I$ by using Lemma 2 (1a) and (1b). Then we have

$$\begin{aligned}& \sum_{\eta\in I^{s-1}} \sum_{\varepsilon_1'=0,1} (1-q)^{h(\eta)} (-\lambda)^{s-|\eta|-\varepsilon_1'+h(\eta)} \\ & \qquad \times \rho((a_1-\varepsilon_1',0),b_1,(a_2-\varepsilon_2,0),b_2-\delta_2,\ldots,(a_s-\varepsilon_s,0),b_s-\delta_s;\lambda),\end{aligned}$$

where $\eta=\{(\varepsilon_i,\delta_i)\}_{i=2}^{s}$. Next use (2) for $i=2,\ldots,s$ repeatedly, and apply (3a). In the case of $b_s=1$, use (3b) at the last step. As a result we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}& \sum_{\eta'\in I^{s-1}} \sum_{\varepsilon_1',\delta_{s+1}'=0,1} (1-q)^{h(\eta')} (-\lambda')^{s-|\eta'|-\varepsilon_1'-\delta_{s+1}'+h(\eta')} \\ & \qquad \times f(a_1-\varepsilon_1',b_1-\delta_2',a_2-\varepsilon_2',\ldots,b_{s-1}-\delta_s',a_s-\varepsilon_s',b_s-\delta_{s+1}';\lambda'),\end{aligned}$$

where $\eta'=\{(\delta_i',\varepsilon_i')\}_{i=2}^{s}$. This is equal to the right hand side of (12). □

Proof of Theorem 2. We prove (11) by induction on the value $\sum_i (a_i+b_i)$. If $(a_1,b_1)=(1,1)$,

$$f(1,1;\lambda)=g(1,1;\lambda)$$

is obvious from the definition.

Recall that

$$g(a_1, b_1, \ldots, a_s, b_s) = f(b_s, a_s, \ldots, b_1, a_1).$$

Hence the function g also satisfies the equation (12). Assume that (11) holds for the codes less than $(a_1, b_1, \ldots, a_s, b_s)$. From the induction hypothesis, the difference of (12) for f and g gives

$$\begin{aligned} &\lambda^s \bigl(f(a_1, b_1, \ldots, a_s, b_s; \lambda) - g(a_1, b_1, \ldots, a_s, b_s; \lambda) \bigr) \\ &\qquad = \lambda'^s \bigl(f(a_1, b_1, \ldots, a_s, b_s; \lambda') - g(a_1, b_1, \ldots, a_s, b_s; \lambda') \bigr), \end{aligned}$$

i.e. the left hand side is invariant under the variable transform $\lambda \mapsto q\lambda - 1$. On the other hand, because of Proposition 1, we have

$$\lambda^s \bigl(f(a_1, b_1, \ldots, a_s, b_s; \lambda) - g(a_1, b_1, \ldots, a_s, b_s; \lambda) \bigr) = \lambda^s \sum_{p=1}^{\infty} \frac{\tilde{C}_p}{[p] - q^p \lambda}$$

for certain constants $\tilde{C}_p$. Using the invariance under $\lambda \mapsto q\lambda - 1$, we find $\tilde{C}_p = 0$ for all p. □

2.3 The Ohno-Zagier Formula

First we introduce the q-multiple polylogarithms:

Definition 2. *For an index $\boldsymbol{k} = (k_1, \ldots, k_r)$ the q-multiple polylogarithm (of one variable) $\mathrm{Li}_{\boldsymbol{k}}(t)$ is defined by*

$$\mathrm{Li}_{\boldsymbol{k}}(t) := \sum_{n_1 > \cdots > n_r > 0} \frac{t^{n_1}}{[n_1]^{k_1} \cdots [n_r]^{k_r}}. \tag{16}$$

The right hand side of (16) *is absolutely convergent if* $|t| < 1$.

The q-multiple polylogarithms are related to qMZV as follows:

$$\begin{aligned} \mathrm{Li}_{k_1, \ldots, k_r}(q) \quad &= \quad \sum_{a_1=2}^{k_1} \sum_{a_2=1}^{k_2} \cdots \sum_{a_r=1}^{k_r} \binom{k_1 - 2}{a_1 - 2} \left\{ \prod_{j=2}^{r} \binom{k_j - 1}{a_j - 1} \right\} \\ &\qquad \times (1-q)^{\sum_{j=1}^{r}(k_j - a_j)} \zeta_q(a_1, \ldots, a_r). \end{aligned} \tag{17}$$

Here $(k_1, \ldots, k_r)$ is an admissible index.

Now let us prove Theorem 3. Denote by $I(k, r, s)$ the set of indices of weight k, depth r and height s, and by $I_0(k, r, s)$ the subset consisting of admissible ones. Set

$$G(k, r, s; t) := \sum_{\boldsymbol{k} \in I(k,r,s)} \mathrm{Li}_{\boldsymbol{k}}(t), \quad G_0(k, r, s; t) := \sum_{\boldsymbol{k} \in I_0(k,r,s)} \mathrm{Li}_{\boldsymbol{k}}(t).$$

By definition we set $G(0,0,0;t)=1$, and $G(k,r,s;t)=0$ unless $k \geq r+s$ and $r \geq s \geq 0$. Consider the following generating functions

$$\Phi := \sum_{k,r,s \geq 0} G(k,r,s;t) u^{k-r-s} v^{r-s} w^{s},$$
$$\Phi_0 := \sum_{k,r,s \geq 0} G_0(k,r,s;t) u^{k-r-s} v^{r-s} w^{s-1}.$$

The function Φ_0 is related to qMZV as follows:

Lemma 3.

$$\Phi_0|_{t=q} = \frac{1}{1-(1-q)u} \sum_{k,r,s \geq 0} \left(\sum_{\boldsymbol{k} \in I_0(k,r,s)} \zeta_q(\boldsymbol{k}) \right) x^{k-r-s} y^{r-s} z^{s-1},$$

where x, y and z are given by

$$x = \frac{u}{1-(1-q)u}, \quad y = \frac{v+(1-q)(w-uv)}{1-(1-q)u}, \quad z = \frac{w}{(1-(1-q)u)^2}. \tag{18}$$

It is easy to prove this lemma from (17).

To prove Theorem 3 we derive a q-difference equation satisfied by $\Phi_0 = \Phi_0(t)$. Denote by $\mathcal{D}_q$ the q-difference operator

$$(\mathcal{D}_q f)(t) := \frac{f(t) - f(qt)}{(1-q)t}. \tag{19}$$

Then the q-multiple polylogarithms satisfy

$$\mathcal{D}_q \mathrm{Li}_{k_1,\dots,k_r}(t) = \begin{cases} \dfrac{1}{t} \mathrm{Li}_{k_1-1,k_2,\dots,k_r}(t), & k_1 \geq 2, \\ \dfrac{1}{1-t} \mathrm{Li}_{k_2,\dots,k_r}(t), & k_1 = 1. \end{cases}$$

These relations can be rewritten in terms of $G(k,r,s;t)$ and $G_0(k,r,s;t)$ as follows:

$$\begin{aligned} &\mathcal{D}_q G_0(k,r,s;t) \\ &\qquad = \frac{1}{t} \left(G(k-1,r,s-1;t) - G_0(k-1,r,s-1;t) + G_0(k-1,r,s;t) \right), \\ &\mathcal{D}_q \left(G(k,r,s;t) - G_0(k,r,s;t) \right) = \frac{1}{1-t} G(k-1,r-1,s;t), \end{aligned}$$

or, in terms of generating functions,

$$\mathcal{D}_q \Phi = \frac{1}{vt} \left(\Phi - 1 - w\Phi_0 \right) + \frac{u}{t} \Phi_0, \quad \mathcal{D}_q \left(\Phi - w\Phi_0 \right) = \frac{v}{1-t} \Phi.$$

Eliminate Φ using the formula

$$\mathcal{D}_q(tf(t)) = qt \cdot \mathcal{D}_q f(t) + f(t).$$

Then we obtain

$$qt(1-t)\mathcal{D}_q^2\Phi_0 + ((1-u)(1-t)-vt)\,\mathcal{D}_q\Phi_0 + (uv-w)\Phi_0 = 1. \tag{20}$$

This is an equation for the power series $\Phi_0 = \Phi_0(t)$. Note that $\Phi_0(0) = 0$. There is a unique solution to (20) vanishing at $t = 0$. To write down the solution we introduce the q-hypergeometric function

$$\phi(a,b,c;t) := \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} t^n \prod_{j=1}^{n} \frac{(1-aq^{j-1})(1-bq^{j-1})}{(1-q^j)(1-cq^{j-1})}$$

Then the solution is given by

$$\Phi_0(u,v,w;t) = \frac{1}{uv-w}\left(1-\phi(a,b,c;\frac{ct}{qab})\right). \tag{21}$$

Here a, b and c are defined in terms of u, v and w as follows:

$$a = \frac{1}{1-(1-q)(u-\alpha_0)}, \quad b = \frac{1}{1-(1-q)(u-\beta_0)}, \quad c = \frac{q}{1-(1-q)u}, \tag{22}$$

where α_0 and β_0 are determined by

$$\alpha_0 + \beta_0 = u + v, \quad \alpha_0\beta_0 = w.$$

Now we use Heine's q-analogue of Gauss' summation formula (see, e.g. [2]):

$$\phi(a,b,c;\frac{c}{ab}) = \prod_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{(1-a^{-1}cq^n)(1-b^{-1}cq^n)}{(1-cq^n)(1-a^{-1}b^{-1}cq^n)}.$$

In our case, substituting (22), we have

$$\phi(a,b,c;\frac{c}{ab}) = \prod_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{\left(1-\frac{q^n}{[n]}\alpha\right)\left(1-\frac{q^n}{[n]}\beta\right)}{\left(1-\frac{q^n}{[n]}x\right)\left(1-\frac{q^n}{[n]}y\right)}. \tag{23}$$

Here x and y are given by (18), and α and β are defined by

$$\alpha = \frac{\alpha_0}{1-(1-q)u}, \quad \beta = \frac{\beta_0}{1-(1-q)u},$$

or equivalently determined by

$$\alpha + \beta = x + y + (q-1)(z-xy), \quad \alpha\beta = z.$$

From Lemma 3, (21), (23) and the formula

$$\begin{aligned}\log \prod_{n=1}^{\infty}\left(1-\frac{q^n}{[n]}s\right) \quad &= \quad \frac{1}{q-1}\log(1-s(q-1))\sum_{n=1}^{\infty}\frac{q^n}{[n]} \\ &\qquad -\sum_{n=2}^{\infty}\zeta_q(n)\sum_{m=0}^{\infty}\frac{(q-1)^m}{m+n}s^{m+n},\end{aligned}$$

we obtain Theorem 3.

3 Discussion

Now we consider the space spanned by the modified qMZV's (5). We regard the modified qMZV as a formal power series of q, and define subspaces of $\mathbb{Q}[[q]]$ by

$$Z_k := \sum_{|\boldsymbol{k}|=k} \mathbb{Q}\overline{\zeta}_q(\boldsymbol{k}), \quad Z_{\leq k} := \sum_{2\leq|\boldsymbol{k}|\leq k} \mathbb{Q}\overline{\zeta}_q(\boldsymbol{k}).$$

Let us consider the problem to determine the dimension of the spaces over $\mathbb{Q}$. In principle, a lower bound for the dimension can be obtained as follows. Expand qMZV $\overline{\zeta}_q(\boldsymbol{k})$ as a power series of q:

$$\overline{\zeta}_q(\boldsymbol{k}) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} a_n(\boldsymbol{k})q^n, \quad a_n(\boldsymbol{k}) \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}.$$

Note that $a_n(\boldsymbol{k}) = 0$ if $n < |\boldsymbol{k}| - 1$. Recall that the number of admissible indices of weight k is equal to 2^{k-2}. Now consider the following square matrices

$$A_k := (a_n(\boldsymbol{k}))_{\substack{k-1\leq n\leq k+2^{k-2}-2 \\ |\boldsymbol{k}|=k}}, \quad A_{\leq k} := (a_n(\boldsymbol{k}))_{\substack{1\leq n\leq k+2^{k-2}-2 \\ 2\leq|\boldsymbol{k}|\leq k}}.$$

Then we have

$$\operatorname{rank} A_k \leq \dim Z_k, \quad \operatorname{rank} A_{\leq k} \leq \dim Z_{\leq k}.$$

Let d_k be the conjectured dimension of the space of MZV's of weight k over $\mathbb{Q}$ in [9]. In [8] it is shown that d_k gives the upper bound of the dimension of MZV's.

The values of $\operatorname{rank} A_k$ and $\operatorname{rank} A_{\leq k}$ for $2 \leq k \leq 10$ are given as follows:

weight	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10
d_k	1	1	1	2	2	3	4	5	7
$\operatorname{rank} A_k$	1	1	2	3	6	9	18	29	54
By cyclic and Ohno	1	1	2	3	6	9	18	30	56
$\sum_{j\leq k} d_j$	1	2	3	5	7	10	14	19	26
$\operatorname{rank} A_{\leq k}$	1	2	4	7	11	18	27	42	63
$\sum_{j\leq k} \operatorname{rank} A_j$	1	2	4	7	13	22	40	69	123

Here the third row shows the upper bound for the dimension of Z_k which follows from the cyclic sum formula and the Ohno relation [4]. We show some data of

$a_n(\boldsymbol{k})$ for $n \leq 13$ and $|\boldsymbol{k}| \leq 6$:

$\boldsymbol{k}$	q^1	q^2	q^3	q^4	q^5	q^6	q^7	q^8	q^9	q^{10}	q^{11}	q^{12}	q^{13}
(2)	1	3	4	7	6	12	8	15	13	18	12	28	14
(3)	0	1	3	7	10	19	21	35	39	56	55	91	78
(4)	0	0	1	4	10	21	35	60	85	130	165	245	286
$(3,1)$	0	0	0	1	1	6	5	15	18	31	30	70	55
(5)	0	0	0	1	5	15	35	71	126	215	330	511	715
$(4,1)$	0	0	0	0	0	1	1	5	7	16	17	47	42
$(3,2)$	0	0	0	0	1	2	7	13	24	42	69	97	149
(6)	0	0	0	0	1	6	21	56	126	253	462	798	1287
$(5,1)$	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	1	6	6	23	22
$(4,2)$	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	2	7	13	30	45	88
$(3,3)$	0	0	0	0	0	1	3	10	22	47	85	154	244
$(4,1,1)$	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	1	2	9	9
$(3,2,1)$	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	1	4	9	14	23

Any qMZV of weight less than 7 is given by a linear combination of ones in the list above from the cyclic sum formula and the Ohno relation.

Now we discuss two points. First, at weight 9 there is a gap between $\operatorname{rank} A_9$ and the upper bound. This shows a possibility that our linear relations are not sufficient to determine the dimension of Z_k. In fact, the following equality holds up to q^{269}:

$$\begin{aligned}
4\zeta_q(7,2)+6\zeta_q(6,3)-\zeta_q(5,4)-\zeta_q(4,5)-6\zeta_q(6,2,1)-6\zeta_q(6,1,2)&\\
-2\zeta_q(5,3,1)-7\zeta_q(5,2,2)-3\zeta_q(5,1,3)+2\zeta_q(4,4,1)-\zeta_q(4,3,2)&\\
+\zeta_q(3,5,1)+\zeta_q(3,2,4)-3\zeta_q(2,5,2)+2\zeta_q(5,2,1,1)&\\
+2\zeta_q(5,1,2,1)+2\zeta_q(5,1,1,2)+\zeta_q(3,3,1,2)-\zeta_q(3,2,3,1)&\\
-4\zeta_q(3,2,2,2)-\zeta_q(3,2,1,3)-2\zeta_q(2,2,3,2)+\zeta_q(2,1,3,3)&\overset{?}{=}0
\end{aligned}$$

It is linearly independent of the cyclic sum formula and the Ohno relation, and is correct by substituting ζ_q with ζ [4].

Next, if the weight is greater than 5, the equality $\operatorname{rank} A_{\leq k} = \sum_{j=2}^{k} \operatorname{rank} A_j$ breaks. This shows that there may exist linear relations among the modified qMZV's of different weights. For example, as observed in the data above, the following relations seem to hold:

$$\begin{aligned}
&-\overline{\zeta}_q(3,1)+\overline{\zeta}_q(5)-3\overline{\zeta}_q(4,1)-3\overline{\zeta}_q(3,2)\\
&\qquad-\overline{\zeta}_q(6)-3\overline{\zeta}_q(4,2)+6\overline{\zeta}_q(3,3)\overset{?}{=}0,\\
&-2\overline{\zeta}_q(3,1)+2\overline{\zeta}_q(5)-6\overline{\zeta}_q(4,1)-9\overline{\zeta}_q(3,2)\\
&\qquad+\overline{\zeta}_q(6)-12\overline{\zeta}_q(4,2)-3\overline{\zeta}_q(4,1,1)+3\overline{\zeta}_q(3,2,1)\overset{?}{=}0.
\end{aligned} \tag{24}$$

Unfortunately, they are not derived from the relations proved in this paper. An interesting point is that we obtain some relations of MZV's from the formulae above. Multiply $(1-q)^6$ and take the limit $q \to 1$ in (24). Then the terms of weight less than 6 vanish and as a result we find

$$\begin{aligned} -\zeta(6) - 3\zeta(4,2) + 6\zeta(3,3) &= 0, \\ \zeta(6) - 12\zeta(4,2) - 3\zeta(4,1,1) + 3\zeta(3,2,1) &= 0. \end{aligned}$$

These relations for MZV's are correct [4].

Aknowledgements

The authors wish to thank Kaneko Masanobu and Ohno Yasuo for many helpful informations and comments.

References

[1] David M. Bradley. Multiple q-Zeta Values. *J. Algebra*, 283(2):752–798, 2005, arXiv:math.QA/0402093.

[2] George Gasper and Mizan Rahman. *Basic hypergeometric series*, volume 35 of *Encyclopedia of Mathematics and its Applications*. Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 1990. With a foreword by Richard Askey.

[3] Michael E. Hoffman and Yasuo Ohno. Relations of multiple zeta values and their algebraic expression. *J. Algebra*, 262(2):332–347, 2003.

[4] Masanobu Kaneko, 2004. private communications.

[5] Yasuo Ohno. A generalization of the duality and sum formulas on the multiple zeta values. *J. Number Theory*, 74(1):39–43, 1999.

[6] Yasuo Ohno and Don Zagier. Multiple zeta values of fixed weight, depth, and height. *Indag. Math. (N.S.)*, 12(4):483–487, 2001.

[7] Jun-ichi Okuda and Kimio Ueno. Relations for Multiple Zeta Values and Mellin Transforms of Multiple Polylogarithms. *Publ. Res. Inst. Math. Sci.*, 40(2):537–564, 2004.

[8] Tomohide Terasoma. Mixed Tate motives and multiple zeta values. *Invent. Math.*, 149(2):339–369, 2002.

[9] Don Zagier. Values of zeta functions and their applications. In *First European Congress of Mathematics, Vol. II (Paris, 1992)*, volume 120 of *Progr. Math.*, pages 497–512. Birkhäuser, Basel, 1994.

[10] Jianqiang Zhao. q-multiple zeta functions and q-multiple polylogarithms. preprint, 2003, arXiv:math.QA/0304448.

OKUDA Jun-ichi,
Department of Mathematical Sciences
Graduate School of Science and Engineering
Waseda University, Tokyo 169-8555, Japan
okuda@gm.math.waseda.ac.jp

TAKEYAMA Yoshihiro,
Department of Mathematics,
Graduate School of Science,
Kyoto University, Kyoto 606-8502, Japan
takeyama@math.kyoto-u.ac.jp